

© INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

*Artola, Teresa, ** Barraca, Jorge, ***Sánchez, Natalia, ****Mosteiro, Pilar, ****Poveda, ******Belén,Ancillo, Isabel,

- *Directora del Departamento de Psicopedagogía. Centro Universitario Villanueva. Universidad Complutense. España. Costa Brava 2. Madrid 28034. tfno: 616671707 . tartola@villanueva.edu
 - **Departamento de Psicopedagogía. Centro Universitario Villanueva. Universidad Complutense.
 España, ibarraca@villanueva.edu
 - ***Departamento de Psicopedagogía.. Centro Universitario Villanueva. Universidad Complutense. España. nsanchez@villanueva.edu
 - ****Departamento de Psicopedagogía. Centro Universitario Villanueva. Universidad Complutense. España. crisantoypilar@hotmail.com
- *****Departamento de Psicopedagogía. Centro Universitario Villanueva. Universidad Complutense. España. bpoveda@villanueva.edu
 - ******Programa de Altas Capacidades. Consejería de Educación de la Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid.

ABSTRACT

En esta comunicación abordamos un tema a menudo controvertido: las diferencias en creatividad en función del sexo de los sujetos. Este estudio fue llevado a cabo con una muestra compuesta por 1277 sujetos, 754 varones y 523 mujeres, 697 niños de entre 9 y 12 años y 580 adolescentes de 13 a 18 años. Para la evaluación de la creatividad se utilizó la Prueba de Imaginación Creativa PIC-N (niños) y PIC-J (adolescentes). Nuestros resultados mostraron que tanto las niñas como las adolescentes obtuvieron mejores puntuaciones en la mayor parte de los índices de creatividad que los niños y chicos de estas edades. Estas diferencias entre sexos fueron mayores en los niños más jóvenes. Estos resultados parecen indicar que existen importantes diferencias cualitativas, más que cuantitativas, en la forma en que niños y niñas utilizan la imaginación en tareas creativas. Consideramos que estas diferencias cualitativas pueden deberse en parte a diferencias biológicas en los ritmos de maduración cerebral de niños y niñas, pero fundamentalmente son debidas a diferencias en los estilos de aprendizaje, las expectativas, y la motivación en el desarrollo de niños y niñas.

Palabras Clave: Creatividad, sexo, niños adolescentes, diferencias



INFAD, año XXII Número 1 (2010 Volumen 2) © INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

Title :Qualitative differences in the way males and females use their imagination in Creativity

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we approach the relationship between two issues which stir up a wide controversy: gender and creativity. The investigation was conducted with a sample of 1277 subjects, 754 males and 523 females: 697 elementary students (9 to 12 years of age) and 580 adolescents (13 to 18 years of age). Our results indicate that in divergent thinking tests females of all ages obtained better results in almost all scores. Greater differences were found in younger children. These results seem to indicate that there are important qualitative, rather than quantitative, differences in the way boys and girls use their imagination in creativity tasks. We consider that these qualitative differences are probably due in part to genetic or biological factors, that might explain the difference between sexes in creative achievement in different domains. But mostly, we believe that these qualitative differences are also due to differences in learning styles, expectations, and motivations, in the development of boys and girls.

Key words: Creativity, sex differences, children, adolescents.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we approach the relationship between two issues which stir up a wide controversy: gender and creativity .We will try to find some answers to the following questions:

Are there differences in the divergent thinking scores of men and women?

Can we identify qualitative differences in the way males and females use their imagination in creativity tasks?

Can these differences be attributed to global differences in the way males and females process information?

Can gender differences be observed at every age level?

The answer to these questions is complex, and should be based on rigorous investigations and not on beliefs, judgments or prejudices. In this paper we will review the assessment of creativity in relation to sexual differences with the purpose of offering new data that can help resolve this controversy.

Review of sexual differences in creativity has not uncovered consistent differences in the types of thinking considered important for the development of creativity ((Baer, Runco & Pritzker 2008). Some of these studies have found some differences, but results across studies are not consistent.

Most studies have searched for the evidence of differences between sexes in divergent thinking tests. Results of these studies seem to be quite contradictory. Bauer, Runco and Pritzker (2008), in their revision of over 80 studies which have approached differences between sexes in divergent thinking, indicate that in more than a half of these studies, show no differences between boys and girls (i.e. Charyton, Basham y Elliot 2008; Kogan, 1974). Of the remaining studies left, two thirds of them revealed that women or girls over scored men or boys (i.e. Kershner & Ledger, 1985, Kuhn y Holling 2009; McLaughling, 2008), while one third favored men or boys over girls (i.e. Barrantes-Vidal, Caparrós, & Obiols, 1999.) Therefore they conclude that while there are research results pointing in various and often contradictory directions, the evidence does not clearly support differences between sexes in creativity based on test results, and that if these differences are found they must necessarily be small.

These small differences between girls and boys also seem to depend upon the age level of the subjects investigated. Mc Lauglin (2008) found that in preschool and elementary levels it is more frequent for girls to obtain better scores than boys. Among middle and high school students results are more controversial: in some studies girls score higher, and in others boys do, though there are a slight larger number of studies that favor girls. The same slight advantage favoring women is found in studies that compare adults (Kuhn & Holling, 2009).



INFAD, año XXII Número 1 (2010 Volumen 2) © INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

Likewise, Matud, Rodriguez & Grande (2007) investigated differences in creativity between adult males and females employing the Figural and Verbal Torrance Test of Creative Thinking. Results of this study indicate that differences between sexes in creative thought were minimal and dependent upon educational level.

Some criticism has aroused towards these studies. In first place, divergent thinking is only one aspect of creativity. Creativity is a much more complex construct. In second place, many studies indicate that creativity is domain or task specific, therefore it is possible that girls may be better in certain domains, such as verbal or artistic, while boys may be better in the mechanical and scientifical domains (Kuhn y Holling 2009) Finally, an important assumption that has rarely been tested, when comparing fluency scores across groups, is the measurement invariance of the divergent thinking tests used (Khun & Holling, 2009) and in fact whether the test is systematically biased against a specific subpopulation of participants and is equally valid for males an females. We believe that this measurement invariance must be proved before arriving to valid conclusions on the relationship between sex and creativity.

Some studies suggest that even though no consistent global differences in global scores of creativity have been found between males and females, some differences in specific aspects such as fluency, flexibility, originality or elaboration can be found.

McLaulglin (2008) found that girls scored significantly higher than boys in some aspects of divergent thinking such as flexibility and elaboration. Kerschner & Ledger (1985) found that girls (9-11 years), irrespective of their IQ level and style of thinking, scored significantly higher than boys in verbal and figural fluency. This advantage in verbal fluency for girls has been confirmed by most studies conducted in western cultures (Kuhn & Holling, 2009, Maccoby and Jacklin, 1975). Fichnová (2002) also found some intersexual differences in some aspects of divergent thinking: girls had significantly higher scores in verbal fluency. Younger boys were more creative in movement and figural fluency. Young girls were verbally more creative and produced more ideas. Older girls elaborated more detailed solutions.

In summary, we can conclude that actual research has not uncovered consistent differences between sexes in creativity, though in those studies were differences have been found, female subjects usually score higher than males (Baer, 2008)

The Present study

As a result of several years that our investigation group has devoted to the assessment of creativity, we believe that probably there are no large quantitative differences between sexes in creativity, but we hypothesize that significant qualitative differences can be found between males an females at all ages, and that these qualitative differences should be considered when designing and validating creativity tests.

We expect that, since boys and girls probably process information differently, these differences will be reflected in their responses to creativity tests, therefore males and females responses will be qualitatively different

Also, several studies have found differences in the factor's that motivate girls and boys. Therefore, we hypothesize that, when confronted to tasks that require the use of imagination, boys and girls react in a different way. Boys usually focus on action while girls focus on feelings and emotions and also their responses are much more descriptive and include many more details (Sax, 2004). Therefore, we expect girls to give responses that refer to feelings, emotions, thoughts and desires as well as descriptions of characters and objects, while boys will give responses more related with action and fantasy or imagination.

Likewise, we expect that girls and women will obtain higher scores in fluency and elaboration. On the other hand, since girls are usually less motivated to take risks, and are less daring, thence we expect they will obtain lower scores in originality, and special details.



INFAD, año XXII Número 1 (2010 Volumen 2) © INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

We also sustain that creativity is probably domain specific therefore we expect that girls may be better in certain domains, such as verbal creativity, while boys may be better in other domains.

METHOD

Participants

A total of 1277 subjects participated in this study (754 males and 523 females). The total sample was composed of 697 elementary students (8 to 12 years of age) and 580 adolescents (13 to 18 years of age).

Measures

For the assessment of creativity, the PIC ("Prueba de Imaginación Creativa"), a test specifically designed for Spanish population, was employed. This test has shown good psychometric properties (Artola & Barraca, 2004) and is widely used for the assessment of creativity in Spanish population.

The PIC evaluates creativity by examining how subjects use their imagination in four different tasks, which we call "games", in order to avoid the feeling of being evaluated. The first three tasks or games assess verbal creativity; the fourth graphical or figural creativity.

In the first task subjects must look at a drawing and indicate all possible things that might be happening in the scene presented. Subject's responses are classified, depending on their nature, in different categories. The second task is similar to Guilford's possible uses of objects. The third task evaluates fantasy and imagination by presenting the subject with an unusual or fantastic situation and the subject has to judge what could happen in this situation. Finally in the fourth task the subject has to make an original drawing given a few lines to complete. Measures of fluency, flexibility, originality, elaboration, and specific details can be obtained.

The PIC has two different versions, one version for each age group. The PIC-N (Artola, Ancillo, Mosteiro & Barraca, 2004) was employed for evaluating elementary students (8-12 years of age). The PIC-J (Artola, Barraca, Mosteiro, Martín, Ancillo & Poveda, 2008) was used for assessing secondary and high school students.

Verbal and graphical creativity scores were obtained for elementary, secondary and high school students, by considering subject's responses to the four tasks. Likewise, fluency, flexibility, originality, elaboration, title and special details scores were calculated.

Finally, a qualitative examination of subject's responses was conducted. For this analysis, subject's responses to the first task of the PIC were qualitatively analyzed. In this task subjects must look at a scene and indicate all possible things that might be happening. Subject's responses to this scene were classified according to the following categories:

Responses that refer to some type of **action**: *The boy is opening the chest, the monkey is going to attack the boy, the girl is pushing the boy into the water, the man is playing the guitar, etc.*

Responses related with the **description** of the scene, the characters, the situation...: The boy is poorly dressed, the lake is very dirty, the houses are very tall, it's hot, etc..

Responses which refer to **emotions**, moods, feelings, desires... The boy is very angry, the girl loves the boy very much, the man playing the guitar is feeling very sad, the music he is playing is very romantic, etc.

Responses which refer to **imagination**: references to characters, animals or objects that don't appear explicitly in the scene as well as references related with **mystery**, **fantasy...** A big monster is going to jump out of the lake, the boy is trying to look for a corpse in the water, "a big rat is going to come out. etc..

Extension: responses that include references to past or future events related with the scene.

Finally an additional analysis was conducted by examining separately subject's scores in each of the four games included in the PIC; since we think that the type of responses required in each task is probably quite different. The first game requires mainly "description" ("Describe all things that might be hap-

© INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

pening in this scene"); the second game requires activity and "action" ("Tell me all things you could make with a rubber tube"); the third game requires fantasy and imagination ("Tell me what you think would happen if the floor was elastic") while the fourth task implies mainly original perception ("complete an original drawing").

RESULTS

Global creativity scores.

To test for global differences between sexes in creativity, we searched for significant differences in the total score of creativity, verbal creativity and graphic or figural creativity by employing Student's t statistic.

In the case of elementary students (PIC-N), significant differences were found in global creativity (t=6,70, p<0,001) as well as in verbal creativity (t=6,36, p<0,001) in both measures girls outscored boys. No significant differences were found in graphic creativity (t=0,40, p>0.05) though boys obtained slightly better scores than girls in this type of creativity.

Table 1:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for verbal creativity, graphic creativity and global creativity in elementary students.

	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
Verbal	Boys	498	64,84	41,57	1,86	6 70***
Creativity	Girls	199	88,11	41,00	2,91	-6,70***
Graphic	Boys	499	15,23	8,68	0,39	0,46
Creativity	Girls	199	14,96	6,22	0,44	
Total Creativity	Boys	498	80,09	43,44	1,95	-6,36***
	Girls	199	103,07	42,12	2,99	

P<0,05* P<0.01**

P<0.001***

When we examined global creativity scores in secondary and high school students (PIC-J), likewise significant differences between males and females were found in global creativity (t=2,52, p<0,05), and verbal creativity (t=2,49, p<0,05), females obtaining a significant mean advantage over males. Again no significant differences were found in graphic creativity (t=0.91, p>0.05).



© INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

Table 2:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for verbal creativity, graphic creativity and global creativity in secondary and high school students.

	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
Verbal	Males	256	92,27	37,73	2,36	
Creativity	Females	324	99,91	35,99	2,00	-2,48*
Graphic	Males	256	9,68	4,55	0,29	-0,91
Creativity	Females	323	10,02	4,36	0,24	
Global Creativity	Males	256	101,94	39,07	2,44	
	Females	323	109,95	37,01	2,06	-2,52*

P<0,05*

P<0,01**

P<0.001***

Divergent thinking factors.

In elementary students (ages 9 to 12) we analyzed differences between boys and girls in the different factors considered when evaluating divergent thinking. We found significant differences in almost all factors: fluency (t= 6,59, p<0.001), flexibility (t=9,08, p<0,001), and originality (t=5,25, p<0,001), indicating that girls obtained significantly higher scores than boys in all these factors. Boys obtained significantly higher scores in special details (t= 2,58, p<0,05).

Table 3:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for fluency, flexibility, originality and special details in elementary students

	Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
	Boys	499	35,00	21,92	0,98	
Fluency	Girls	199	47,08	21,63	1,53	-6,59***
	Boys	499	16,25	7,32	0,33	
Flexibility	Girls	199	21,73	6,90	0,49	-9,08***
	Boys	499	19,99	15,48	0,69	
Originality	Girls	199	26,93	16,56	1,17	-5,24***
Special Details	Boys	499	0,37	0,83	0,04	2,57**
	Girls	199	0,23	0,56	0,04	

P<0,05* P<0.01**

P<0.001***

Likewise, significant differences were found between secondary and high school boys and girls in some factors of divergent thinking: fluency (t=2,73, p<0,05) and flexibility (t=2,80, p<0.05). No significant differences were found in originality (t=1,68, p>0,05) and special details (t=1,65, p>0.05).



© INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

Table 4:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for fluency, flexibility, originality and special details in secondary and high school students.

	Cov	A.	Mann	00	05.44	4
	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE of M	τ
	Males	256	45,71	19,90	1,24	
Fluency	Females	324	50,15	19,06	1,06	-2,73**
-	Males	256	28,55	8,28	0,52	
Flexibility	Females	324	30,41	7,68	0,43	-2,80**
	Malaa	050	00.00	40.45	0.70	
0-1-1	Males	256	22,68	12,45	0,78	4.07
Originality	Females	323	24,38	11,84	0,66	-1,67
Special Details	Males	256	0,58	1,08	0,07	4.65
	Females	324	0,45	0,83	0,05	1,65

P<0,05* P<0.01**

P<0.001***

Scores in different games or tasks

We also conducted an additional analysis considering the scores obtained separately in each of the four tasks involved in the PIC.

In elementary students, the results obtained in the PIC-N were as follows: girls scored significantly higher than boys in all factors of the first game, which requires subject's to describe everything that could be happening in a scene: both fluency $(t=4,03,\,p<0,001)$ and flexibility $(t=6,68,\,p<0,001)$. In the second task or game, which requires that subjects think about possible uses of objects, results obtained were similar, since girls over scored boys in all factors considered: fluency $(t=7,04,\,p<0.001)$, flexibility $(t=7,19,\,p<0,001)$ and originality $(t=3,81,\,p<0,001)$. The same results were found in the third task, which requires the use of fantasy or imagination. Girls obtained significantly better results in fluency $(t=5'16,\,p<0,001)$, flexibility $(t=7,73,\,p<0,001)$ and originality $(t=04,8,\,p<0,001)$.

In the fourth task, which assesses graphic creativity, results were quite different. Boys obtained significantly better results in elaboration (t=2,03,p<0,05) and special details (t=2,58,p<0,01), while girls obtained better results in shadows and color (t=4,91,p<0,001). No significant differences were found in originality in this task (t=0,014,p>0,05).



© INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

Table 5:

Mean , standard deviation, standard error and significance for the different tasks or games of the PIC-N

	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
Game 1	Boys	499	15,79	9,55	0,43	4.00***
fluency	Girls	199	18,96	8,91	0,63	-4,03***
Game 1	Boys	499	6,16	2,21	0,10	0.00***
flexibility	Girls	199	7,41	2,24	0,16	-6,68***
Game 2	Boys	499	11,44	9,53	0,43	
fluency	Girls	199	17,13	9,88	0,70	-7,04***
Game 2	Boys	499	6,54	4,42	0,20	
flexibility	Girls	199	9,13	3,97	0,28	-7,18***
Game 2	Boys	499	10,48	11,30	0,51	-3,18***
Originality	Girls	199	14,02	10,38	0,74	
Game 3	Boys	499	7,77	7,21	0,32	-5,16***
Fluency	Girls	199	10,99	7,99	0,57	
Game 3	Boys	499	3,54	2,45	0,11	-7,73***
Flexibility	Girls	199	5,20	2,81	0,20	
Game 3	Boys	499	3,60	5,54	0,25	4.00***
Originality	Girls	199	7,02	9,38	0,66	-4,82***
Game 4	Boys	499	4,76	8,16	0,37	
elaboration	Girls	199	3,69	5,30	0,38	2,03*
Game 4	Boys	499	1,79	2,21	0,10	
Color and shadows	Girls	199	2,60	1,87	0,13	-4,90**
Game 4	Boys	499	0,37	0,83	0,04	
Special Details	Girls	199	0,23	0,56	0,04	2,57**

P<0,05* P<0,01**

P<0,001***

In secondary and high school students, (12 to 18 years) differences between boys and girls were not so obvious. Girls obtained significantly better results than boys only in the first game, both in fluency (t=2,93, p<0,005) and flexibility (t=2,94, p<0,005), and in the second task likewise in fluency (t=2,86, p<0,005) and flexibility (t=3,38, p<0,001). But no significant differences were found between girls and boys in originality of the second task (t=1,78, p>0,05) nor in any of the factors of the third task: fluency (t=1,18, p>0,05), flexibility (t=0,15, p>0,05); and originality (t=0,69, p>0,05). In the graphical task no significant differences were found between sexes in none of the factors considered: originality (t=0,16, p>0,05); elaboration (t=0,35, p>0,05); and special details (t=1,65, p>0,05). Slight differences were found, favoring girls in Title (t=2,18, p<0,05).

INFAD, año XXII

© INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

Table 6:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for the different tasks or games of the PIC-J

			110-3			
	Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
	Males	256	15,78	7,84	0,49	
G1 Fluency	Females	324	17,61	7,17	0,40	-2,93**
	Males	256	10,53	3,21	0,20	
G1 Flexibility	Females	324	11,28	2,95	0,16	-2,94**
	Males	256	15,31	8,01	0,50	
G2 Fluency	Females	324	17,28	8,41	0,47	-2,85**
	Males	256	9,31	4,03	0,25	
G2 Flexibility	Females	324	10,47	4,14	0,23	-3,38***
G4 Title	Males	256	2,63	1,93	0,12	
	Females	324	2,99	1,99	0,11	-2,17*

P<0,05*

P<0,01**

P<0,001***

Categories of responses.

Also we conducted a new analysis aimed towards the possibility of finding qualitative differences in the type of categories chosen by males and females in the first task of the PIC of both age groups.

In elementary students, girls obtained significantly higher means than boys in those categories that refer to actions (t=4,85, p<0.001), descriptions (t=2,97, p<0.005) and emotions (t=2,84, p<0,05). Boys obtained significantly higher scores in "extension" (t=2,61, p<0,05). No significant sex differences emerged in those categories referring to fantasy.

Table 7:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for categories of action, description, fantasy, emotions, and extension in elementary students. PIC-N

	Sex	N	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
	Boys	499	2,07	2,42	0,11	
Action	Girls	199	3,37	3,46	0,24	-4,85***
	Boys	499	3,20	2,89	0,13	
Description	Girls	198	3,92	2,88	0,20	-2,97**
	Boys	498	1,28	1,18	0,05	
Fantasy	Girls	199	1,43	2,15	0,15	-0,94
	Boys	498	0,48	0,90	0,04	
Emotions	Girls	199	0,69	0,85	0,06	-2,84**
Extension	Boys	498	0,70	0,90	0,04	
	Girls	198	0,49	1,05	0,07	2,60*

P<0,05*

P<0,01**

P<0,001***



© INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

When considering secondary and high school students, we obtained somewhat different results. Significant differences were found in categories referring to fantasy (t= 2,50, p<0,05), emotions (t=2,05, p<0,05) and extension (t=3,55, p<0,001). In these three categories, girls obtained higher scores than boys. No significant differences were found in action (t= 0,42, p>0,05) and description (t=0,98, p=>0,05).

Table 8:

Mean, standard deviation, standard error and significance for categories of action, description, fantasy, emotions, and extension in secondary and high school students. PIC-J.

	Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
	Males	255	9,49	6,07	0,38	
Action	Females	322	9,29	5,19	0,29	0,42
	Males	255	7,34	5,19	0,33	
Description	Females	321	7,74	4,60	0,26	-0,98
	Males	254	5,06	4,24	0,27	
Fantasy	Females	319	5,99	4,62	0,26	-2,49*
	Males	255	2,44	2,71	0,17	
Emotions	Females	322	2,89	2,63	0,15	-2,05*
·	Males	254	1,17	1,52	0,10	0 = 1+++
Extension	Females	321	1,65	1,78	0,10	-3,54***

P<0,05*

P<0,01**

P<0,001***

Frequency of categories.

In order to complete this qualitative analysis, we tested for possible differences in each of the individual categories used to classify subject's responses in task or game one.

Significant differences between elementary girls and boys emerged in categories 1,2,4,5,8,10,11 and 12, that were significantly more frequent in girls, while category 15 was significantly more frequent in boys.



© INFAD y sus autore

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

Table 9:

Mean , standard deviation, standard error and significance for each category of game 1 in elementary students: PIC-N.

	Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
- · - ·	Boys	499	0,96	1,01	0,05	
CAT1	Girls	199	1,25	1,23	0,09	-2,91**
	Boys	499	0,77	1,11	0,05	
CAT2	Girls	199	1,16	1,65	0,12	-3,07**
	Boys	499	0,21	0,55	0,02	
CAT4	Girls	199	0,54	1,07	0,08	-4,14***
	Boys	499	0,24	0,46	0,02	
CAT5	Girls	199	0,44	1,27	0,09	-2,16*
	Boys	499	0,34	0,58	0,03	
CAT8	Girls	199	0,47	0,81	0,06	-2,06*
	Boys	499	0,21	0,59	0,03	
CAT10	Girls	199	0,36	0,67	0,05	-2,64**
	Boys	499	0,25	0,55	0,02	
CAT11	Girls	198	0,44	0,67	0,05	-3,55***
	Boys	499	0,28	0,77	0,03	
CAT12	Girls	199	0,96	1,82	0,13	-5,11***
-	Boys	498	0,70	0,90	0,04	
CAT15	Girls	198	0,49	1,05	0,07	2,60*

P<0,05* P<0,01**

P<0,01***

In secondary and high school students, girls differed significantly from boys in the frequency with which they used categories 9,10,11, 12, 17 and 19. All these categories were significantly more frequent in girls.



© INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

Table 10:

Mean , standard deviation, standard error and significance for each category of game 1 in secondary and high school students: PIC-J.

	Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	SE of M	t
0.4.T0	Males	255	0,66	1,12	0,07	0.40*
CAT9	Females	321	0,87	1,24	0,07	-2,13*
0.1.7.10	Males	255	0,05	0,30	0,02	0.74**
CAT10	Females	322	0,16	0,69	0,04	-2,74**
	Males	254	1,03	1,45	0,09	-2,66**
CAT11	Females	321	1,36	1,57	0,09	
0.4.7.4.0	Males	255	0,32	0,71	0,04	4.05**
CAT12	Females	322	0,78	1,47	0,08	-4,95**
0.4.7.7	Males	255	0,82	1,15	0,07	0.00*
CAT17	Females	320	1,05	1,52	0,09	-2,00*
0.4.7.4.0	Males	255	1,54	1,72	0,11	-2,56
CAT19	Females	322	1,94	2,05	0,11	

P<0,05*

P<0,01**

P<0,001***

DISCUSSION

The results obtained don't agree totally with our first hypothesis, since we expected no large inter sex quantitative differences in creativity. Our results indicate that both in children and adolescents, girls outscore boys in global and verbal creativity. No significant differences were found in graphic creativity. These results are in accordance with those found by several investigators (Kershner Ledger, 1985, Kuhn & Holling, 2009, McLaughlin, 2008, Sánchez, 2009). There are many investigations that point to differences in mental abilities between boys and girls (Gil-Verona et al, 2003, MacCoby & Jacklin, 1974). Most studies indicate that women outstand in language, perceptual speed and verbal fluency. Since we believe that creativity is probably domain specific, we sustain that, if divergent thinking tests evaluate creativity only through verbal tasks, boys and men will probably be underestimated.

We did expect to find differences between males and females in the different factors usually evaluated by divergent thinking tests. This hypothesis was confirmed since girls of all groups, elementary, secondary and high school, obtained better scores than boys in fluency and flexibility. Also younger girls obtained better results than boys of this age in originality. Likewise these results were confirmed in elementary students independently of the type of response required (description, action or fantasy), as long as it was a verbal task. In graphical or figural tasks differences between elementary boys and girl reversed: boys obtained better results in the graphic task, especially in elaboration and special details. These results concur with those obtained by several investigators (Fichnova, 2002, Kerschner & Ledger, 1985, Kuhn and Holling, 2009 McLaughin, 2008, and Xiaoia, 1999), who also found that girls and boys differed significantly in some aspects of divergent thinking particularly in fluency of responses.

In secondary and high school students differences between boys and girls were less notorious. Girls still over scored boys in fluency and flexibility of the first two games (which imply description and action) but no differences were observed neither in the third game (which required fantasy) nor in the graphic task for boys. Therefore it seems that, as Catalina (1999) said, sex differences in divergent thinking are more intense in younger children and as they approach adolescence these differences decrease.



© INFAD y sus autores

PSICOLOGÍA POSITIVA Y CICLO VITAL

Several investigators (Hanlon, Tatcher & Cline, 1999, Sax, 2005, 2007) sustain that possibly boys and girls have a different sequence of development of the various brain regions, and that the areas of brain involved in language, spatial memory and social development develop in a different order, time and rate in boys and girls. These differences in development might be responsible of the differences found in divergent thinking.

Likewise other studies point out that boys and girls process information in a different way (Razumnikova & Bryzgalov, 2006). These differences in perception and processing might also account for the differences observed in our study.

The analysis of the categories of responses more used by males and females in the first game, in order to examine qualitative differences in the way they use their imagination in creativity tasks, indicates that males and females style of responses differ depending of the age of the population studied. In children girls stand out in those categories that refer to actions, descriptions and emotions. Boys stand out in extension. In adolescents, girls outstand in fantasy, emotions and extension.

These results, though slightly confusing, probably indicate that both in children and in adolescents, boys and girls have different interests and motivations, as several investigators reviewed affirm (Matud et al., 2006, Razummnikova, 2006, Rhoads, 2004), and therefore their responses differ qualitatively in their content. As they grow up, differences in the categories chosen diminish.

A more detailed analysis indicates that in children girls differed from boys in that they used more the following categories: 1 and 2 (actions conducted by the main character),4 (references to time or moment when the scene takes place),5,(description of physical characteristics of the scene) 8(identity of the main character), 10 (psychological and moral characteristics of the character) 11 (references to things that happened in the past of the character) 12 (references to occupation or job of the main character). Boys used significantly more category 15 (references to imaginary objects, animals or characters that don't appear on the scene).

Secondary and high school girls differed from boys in that they used significantly more the following categories: 9 (identity of the characters), 10 (background of the characters), 11(events that happened before the scene), 12 (relationships between characters), 17 (references to imaginary people that don't appear in the scene) and 19 (references to objects that don't appear in the scene).

As a general conclusion of the investigation conducted, we have to affirm that there are quite many differences in the way males and females use their imagination in creativity tasks. These differences indicate that most divergent thinking tests, since they favor fluidity, flexibility or elaboration, instead of novelty, activity or originality might be biased towards girls or might not be equally valid for males and females. Likewise most creativity tests stress verbal tasks and therefore might no be adequate for certain populations. Measurement invariance must be proved in order to insure that a specific subpopulation is under esteemed. Likewise we must question if the same identification procedures can be used when identifying high ability and creative students.

REFERENCES

Artola, T. y Barraca, J. (2004). Creatividad e Imaginación. Un nuevo instrumento de medida: La PIC. *EduPsikhé. Revista de Psicología y Psicopedagogía, 3,* pp. 73. Artola, T., Ancillo, I., Mosteiro, P. Y Barraca, J. (2004): *PIC-N: Prueba de Imaginación Creativa: Test Psicométrico.* Madrid, TEA Ediciones. ISBN: 8471747669 Artola, T.; Barraca, J.; Mosteiro, P.; Martín, C.; Ancillo, I.; y Poveda, B. (2008):

PICJ: Prueba de Imaginación Creativa para adolescentes y jóvenes: Test Psicométrico. Madrid, TEA Ediciones. ISBN 978-84-7174-916-1

Baer, J. (1999). Gender differences. In M.A. Runco & S. Pritzker (Ed). *Encyclopedia of Creativity.* 1. 753-758. San Diego: Academic Press.

Baer, J. (2008). Evidence of gender differences in creativity. *Journal of Creative Behaviour.* 42(2), pp. 78-105.



INFAD, año XXII Número 1 (2010 Volumen 2) © INFAD y sus autores

DIFERENCIAS CUALITATIVAS EN LA FORMA EN QUE NIÑOS Y NIÑAS UTILIZAN LA IMAGINACIÓN EN TAREAS CREATIVAS.

Baer, J; Runco, M.A. & Pritzker, S.R. (2008). The question of gender differences in creativity.

Barkley, C. & Gabriel, K.I. (2007). Sex differences in cue perception in a visual scene: investigation of cue type. *Behvioral Neuroscience*, 121(2), pp.291-300.

Barrantes-Vidal, N., Caparrós, B. & Obiols, J.E. (1999). An exploratory study of sex

differences in divergent thinking and creative personality among collage subjects. *Psychological Reports*, 85(3). Pp. 1164-166.

Charyton, C.; Basham, K.; and Elliot, J.O. (2008): Examining gender with general creativity and preferences for creative persons in college students within the sciences and the arts. *Journal of Creative Behaviour, 42* (3). Pp. 216-222.

Fichnová, F. (2002). Creative abilities of preschool age boys and girls. *Psychologia Dietätá.*, 37 (4). Pp. 306-314.

Gil-Verona et al. (2003). Diferencias sexuales en el sistema nervioso humano. Una revisión desde el punto de vista psiconeurobiológico. *Revista Internacional de Pssicología Clínica y de la Salud. Vol., 3.* nº 2. pp 351-361.

Hanlon, H., Tatcher, R. & Cline (1999). Gender differences in the development of EEG coherence in normal children. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, *16*(3). Pp. 479-506.

Kershner, J.R. & Ledger, G. (1985). Effect of sex, intelligence and style of thinking

on creativity: A comparison of gifted and average IQ children. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48, no 4, 1033-1040.

Kuhn, J.; & Holling, H. (2009). Measurement invariance of divergent thinking across

gender, age, and school forms. European Journal of Psychological Assessment. 25 (1). Pp. 1-7.

Maccoby, S., & Jacklin, C. (1974). Psychology of sex differences. Stanford,

California: Stanford University Press

Matud, P.; Rodriguez, C.; & Grande, J. (2007): Gender differences in creative t

thinking. Personality and Individual Differences, 43 (5). Pp. 1137-1147.

Mclaughlin, J.B. (2008). Relationship of sibling constellation factors and figural

creativity scores in grade five boys and girls. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering 68 (7-b), pp. 4867.

Razumnikova, O.M. (2006). Manifestations of gender differences in creative activity.

Voprosy Psichologii, nº 1, pp. 105-112.

Rhoads, S. (2004). Taking sex differences seriously.

Sax, L. (2005). Why gender matters. Doubleday.

Sax, L. (2007): Boys adrift. NY Basic Books.

Xiaoxia, Ai (1999). Creativity and academic achievement: an investigation of gender differences. *Creativity Research Journal.* 12 (4), pp. 329-337.

Fecha de recepción: 25 de febrero 2010 Fecha de admisión: 19 de marzo 2010